

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,667	SMULLIN, JOSEPH I.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Norfleet.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

70, 77, 78 etc (see Ex's Amendment)

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend the claims to be consistent with the original disclosure where a mixture of exhaust gas and liquid has contaminants removed therefrom. See Ex's amendment. Some dependent claims needed their dependency corrected.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)